

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/748,943	12/29/2003	Neil Keegstra	1122-8 7887	
23869	7590 01/13/2005		EXAM	INER
HOFFMANN & BARON, LLP 6900 JERICHO TURNPIKE			SEMUNEGUS, LULIT	
SYOSSET, 1		•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ŕ			3641	
			DATE MAILED: 01/13/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

* *	Appli	ication No.	Applicant(s)				
	l l	48,943	KEEGSTRA ET AL.				
⟨	nmary Exam	niner	Art Unit				
<)	Lulit	Semunegus	3641				
The MAILING DATE of the Period for Reply	is communication appears o	n the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS - Extensions of time may be available under after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing da - If the period for reply specified above is let If NO period for reply is specified above, it - Failure to reply within the set or extended Any reply received by the Office later than earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	COMMUNICATION. the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In te of this communication. ss than thirty (30) days, a reply within th e maximum statutory period will apply a period for reply will, by statute, cause th three months after the mailing date of t	no event, however, may a reply be tir the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the application to become ABANDONE	mely filed /s will be considered timely. I the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status `							
1) Responsive to communic	ation(s) filed on <u>27 Se<i>pteml</i></u>	ber 2004.					
2a) This action is FINAL.							
3) Since this application is in							
closed in accordance with	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-12</u> is/are pend	ing in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s)	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allo	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-12</u> is/are rejec	- · · · · - · · · · - · · · · · · · · ·						
7) Claim(s) is/are obj							
8) Claim(s) are subje	ct to restriction and/or electi	on requirement.	•				
Application Papers							
9) The specification is object	ed to by the Examiner.						
-	 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. 						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a):						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is	,	, ,,	•				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
2. Certified copies of the certified3. Copies of the certified	None of: he priority documents have he priority documents have ed copies of the priority doc International Bureau (PCT	been received. been received in Applicat cuments have been receive Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892		4) Interview Summary					
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawi Information Disclosure Statement(s) (Paper No(s)/Mail Date 		Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/748,943

Art Unit: 3641

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-12 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Applicant has overcome previously objected claim 7 by amending the claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

3. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The term "conventional" is indefinite. The meets and bounds of this term is unknown.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless – (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

5. Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Tougeron et al (5,565,649). Tougeron teaches a less-lethal shot gun round comprising: a generally elongate tubular hull (3) having a forward end and an opposed rearward end

Application/Control Number: 10/748,943

Art Unit: 3641

(fig. 1); a base (4) enclosing said rearward end of said hull; a propellant (17) contained within said base; and a projectile slug (2, 62) carried in said hull, said slug being a generally cylindrical member having an outer cylindrical wall, said slug further including a head/protruding member, surrounded by a rim (65), at a forward end of said cylindrical member (col. 2, line 49) thereby increasing the weight of said slug at said forward end; said slug being formed of a non-metallic material (col.2, lines 63-65) inherently having a durometer hardness less than conventional (metal) shot gun slug materials.

Page 3

- Claims 1-8 and 10-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated 6. by Stevens (5,361,701). Stevens teaches a less-lethal shot gun round comprising: a generally elongate tubular hull (22) having a forward end and an opposed rearward end; a base (24) enclosing said rearward end of said hull; a propellant (32) contained within said base; a wad (34) sealably positioned in said hull adjacent said rearward end; and a projectile slug (36) carried in said hull, said slug being a generally cylindrical member having an outer cylindrical wall, said slug further including a head/protruding member (figures 2-4) at a forward end of said cylindrical member thereby increasing the weight of said slug at said forward end; said slug being formed of a non-metallic material (col. 3, lins 20-21) inherently will have a durometer hardness less than conventional (metal) shot gun slug materials and includes a sabot (58) positioned between said wad and said slug.
- Claims 1-8 and 10-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated 7. by Knoster, Jr. (6,067,909). Knoster teaches a projectile (16) having a generally hollow cylindrical body (12) having forward end including said protruding member extending

Application/Control Number: 10/748,943 Page 4

Art Unit: 3641

therefrom (36) which is dome shaped (fig. 2); a body being formed of a non-metallic material (fig. 7's cross-section showing section for synthetic resin or plastic according to Title 37) having inherently a durometer hardness less than conventional metallic rounds; a base (30) enclosing said rearward end; a propellant (50) contained within said base; a wad (32) sealably positioned in rear end; and a projectile slug (16), said slug being a generally cylindrical member having an outer cylindrical wall, said slug further including a head/protruding member (figures 1-5) at a forward end of said cylindrical member thereby increasing the weight of said slug at said forward end; and includes a sabot (12) positioned between said wad and said slug.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 8. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claim 9 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tougeron et al (5,565,649) in view of Gibson et al (6,615,739). Tougeron teach all the limitations of claim 9 except the cylindrical wall is dimpled. Gibson et al teaches a slug with hollow cylindrical bore extending from the rearward end (fig. 1b) where the outer cylindrical wall is dimpled (fig.8a). At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to one ordinarily skilled in the art to have a dimpled cylindrical wall to promote accuracy and/or distance of the projectile/slug.

Application/Control Number: 10/748,943

Art Unit: 3641

Conclusion

 Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lulit Semunegus whose telephone number is (703) 306-5960. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Carone can be reached on (703) 306-4198. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

12/29/04

Lulit Semunegus Examiner Art Unit 3641 Page 5

MICHAEL J. CARONE SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER